







# Can we use Spot 5 Take 5 to monitor dissolved organic carbon in the Arctic river Yenisei ?

Living Planet Symposium 2016 – ESA Prague (9-13 May)

P.-A. Herrault<sup>1,2</sup>, L. Gandois<sup>2</sup>, S. Gascoin<sup>1</sup>, N. Tananaev<sup>3</sup>, T. Le Dantec<sup>2</sup>, R. Teisserenc<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CESBIO Lab. UMR 5126 UPS/CNRS/CNES/IRD <sup>2</sup>ECOLAB Lab. UMR 5245 UPS / CNRS UPS Toulouse III, INPT

<sup>3</sup>Igarka Geocryology Lab.



Permafrost Status
Isolated Sporadic Discontinuous Continuous



Conclusion

Indigirka

Lapte

Lena 3.4-4

Olenek

naha

## ✓ + 5°C in high-latitudes ✓ 1/3 of the organic carbon in permafrost

 ✓ organic carbon drained by great Arctic rivers → dissolved organic carbon (DOC)
 ✓ Yenisei river is the greatest contributor to Arctic Ocean



Permafrost Status
Isolated Sporadic Discontinuous Continuous



Conclusion

### ✓ + 5°C in high-latitudes ✓ 1/3 of the organic carbon in permafrost

 ✓ organic carbon drained by great Arctic rivers → dissolved organic carbon (DOC)
 ✓ Yenisei river is the greatest contributor to Arctic Ocean



Data and Methods

Results

 $\underset{\bigcirc \bigcirc}{\mathsf{Conclusion}}$ 

#### Context

Yenisei, 22/05/2015 (crédit : TOMCAR-Permafrost)





#### Context





✓ 80 % of DOC fluxes in the peakflow period
 ✓ Logistical problems to sample DOC
 ✓ Remaining iso brooks

- ✓ Remaining ice-breaks
- ✓ Very short-period (a few weeks in May and June)

 ✓ Lack of knowledge on DOC spatial patterns at largest scales and on DOC temporal dynamics

#### Context – Remote sensing could be a precious tool



✓ 80 % of DOC fluxes in the peakflow period
 ✓ Logistical problems to sample DOC
 ✓ Remaining ice-breaks

✓ Very short-period (a few weeks in May and June)

 ✓ Lack of knowledge on DOC spatial patterns at largest scales and on DOC temporal dynamics



#### Background

- ✓ Numerous CDOM retrieval algorithm have been developed in oceans, lakes and more recently on Arctic rivers (for a review : Zhu et al, 2014; Brezonik et al, 2015)
- $\checkmark$ 2 major approaches to explore relationships between CDOM and optical signal



✓ Easy to calibrate ✓Current problems of linear regression(outliers, overfitting) ✓ Best description of the processes ✓ Input data rarely available

Transfer radiative data

#### Background

- ✓ Numerous CDOM retrieval algorithm have been developed in oceans, lakes and more recently on Arctic rivers (for a review : Zhu et al, 2014; Brezonik et al, 2015)
- ✓2 major approaches to explore relationships between CDOM and optical signal





Prior knowledge on absorption capacities

Transfer radiative data

✓ Geographic-area dependent

 ✓ Spectral band combinations are effective (band ratio or band multiplication)

✓ namely shorter and longer wavelengths combination

#### lssues

✓ Low- spatial resolution sensors are effective to monitor CDOM in oceans or lakes but high-spatial sensors are more suited for Arctic rivers :

#### $\rightarrow$ to evaluate the spatial heterogeneity of DOC

 $\rightarrow$  to characterize the river during the ice-break period or between clouds

#### → for their atmospheric corrections

- ✓ Available high- spatial resolution sensors (Landsat, Spot) have a too low repeat-cycle orbite :
  - $\rightarrow$  to evaluate DOC dynamics in the freshest period
  - $\rightarrow$  to have an acceptable number of spatial acquisitions

#### Goals

 Developing a CDOM algorithm retrieval at high spatial and temporal resolution to :

→ to evaluate the DOC dynamics during the open water season with a special focus on the freshest period

- → to evaluate **the spatial heterogeneity of DOC** in the river channel
- $\checkmark$  Specific objectives are :
  - $\rightarrow$  finding an optimal **spectral bands configuration**
  - → evaluating **the predictive performance** of the developed model
  - Aiscussing the potentiality of high spatio-temporal optical remote sensing

 $\rightarrow$  Preparation for Sentinel 2 data

 $\underset{\bigcirc \bigcirc}{\mathsf{Conclusion}}$ 

#### Study site – Yenisei river (Igarka – Take 5 Site)



#### Methodological flowchart



#### Methodological flowchart



#### Methodological flowchart



#### Methodological flowchart



#### Methodological flowchart



# Synchronisation of in-situ measurements and Spot 5 Take 5 acquisitions



✓ 25 ST5 scenes from 09/04/2015 to 06/09/2015✓ 41 field samples in 2014 and 28 in 2015 (DOC CDOM

✓ 41 field-samples in 2014 and 28 in 2015 (DOC, CDOM, TSS)

# Synchronisation of in-situ measurements and Spot 5 Take 5 acquisitions



- ✓ 25 ST5 scenes from 09/04/2015 to 06/09/2015
- ✓ 41 field-samples in 2014 and 28 in 2015 (DOC, CDOM, TSS)

# Synchronisation of in-situ measurements and Spot 5 Take 5 acquisitions



- ✓ 25 ST5 scenes from 09/04/2015 to 06/09/2015
- ✓ 41 field-samples in 2014 and 28 in 2015 (DOC, CDOM, TSS)
- ✓ Only 6 ST5 scenes and 6 L8 scenes selected (clouds, hazing effects, acquisitions during the ice-period, too large gaps)
- ✓ 6 dates during the peakflow period (namely 22/05)

#### Time-series



L8 (22/05) ST5 (03/06) ST5 (18/06) ST5 (11/07) L8 (22/07) L8 (08/08) L8 (08/09)

#### Image corrections

 $\checkmark$  Surface reflectance products were used

- ✓ MACCS processor (Hagolle et al, 2015)
- ✓ L8SR (L8SR Product Guide)

#### Field sample treatments

- ✓ Field measurements concern DOC (mg/L), CDOM (m<sup>-1</sup>) and TSS (mg/L)
- ✓ Absorbance at 440 nm was chosen (Brezonik et al, 2015)



#### Methodological flowchart



#### CDOM as a proxy to retrieve DOC concentrations?

- ✓ All field-samples were used (N = 69)
- ✓ Goal : More robust statistical relationship

#### Extraction of water surface reflectances

 $\checkmark$  A water extraction was defined :

✓ 15 km North-South✓ from 300 m to river banks

- ✓ Goal : increasing the possibility to have cloud-free pixels
- $\checkmark$  [Min, max, mean, std] in Green and Red channels of each spatial scene



Conclusion



Living Planet Symposium 2016 – Prague (9-13 May)

retrieval

prediction

prediction

#### CDOM algorithm development and statistical analyses

- $\checkmark$  Based on existing models developed for oceans, lakes or rivers
  - $\checkmark$  Kutser (2005) on Swedish lakes
    - $\rightarrow$  green-red ratio
  - ✓ Griffin (2011) on Kolyma river (Northern-Siberia)
    - $\rightarrow$  green-blue ratio + red
- ✓ Spectral band multiplications were also tested (interaction term)
- ✓ Goodness of fit was examined with R<sup>2</sup> and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)



#### CDOM can be used as a proxy to retrieve DOC concentrations in the Yenisei river



Living Planet Symposium 2016 – Prague (9-13 May)

#### The CDOM model developed shows high performances



Living Planet Symposium 2016 – Prague (9-13 May)

#### Shorter and longer wavelengths interaction to retrieve DOC concentrations

### CDOM = -681,4\*[Green] + 16410,9\*[Green:Red]

#### Shorter and longer wavelengths interaction to retrieve DOC concentrations

- Negative relationship between CDOM and [Green]
- $\checkmark$  Expected relationship

Conclusion

#### Shorter and longer wavelengths interaction to retrieve DOC concentrations



✓ Expected relationship

- ✓ Relationship between [Green] and CDOM depends on red reflectance values
- $\checkmark$  TSS strongly reflects light in red band

Conclusion

#### Shorter and longer wavelengths interaction to retrieve DOC concentrations



- Negative relationship between CDOM and [Green]
- Expected relationship

- Relationship between [Green] and CDOM depends on red reflectance values
- $\checkmark\,$  TSS strongly reflects light in red band

→ For high values of TSS (> 15 mg/L) , statistical relationship between shorter wavelengths and CDOM is noised



#### If combined with high-spatial resolution, multi-temporal remote sensing data are precious to retrieve DOC in Arctic rivers

 $\checkmark$  DOC evaluations :

- ✓ To evaluate DOC in a hot-moment
- $\checkmark$  To evaluate the spatial variability of DOC at largest scales
- ✓ Methodologically
  - $\checkmark$  To increase the possibility to have cloud-free scenes
  - $\checkmark$  To select pixels between cloud or ice-breaks
  - $\checkmark\,$  To apply more accurate atmospheric corrections

#### If combined with high-spatial resolution, multi-temporal remote sensing data are precious to retrieve DOC in Arctic rivers

 $\checkmark$  DOC evaluations :

- ✓ To evaluate DOC in a hot-moment
- $\checkmark$  To evaluate the spatial variability of DOC at largest scales
- ✓ Methodologically
  - $\checkmark$  To increase the possibility to have cloud-free scenes
  - $\checkmark$  To select pixels between cloud or ice-breaks
  - $\checkmark\,$  To apply more accurate atmospheric corrections

Sentinel 2/Landsat 8 synergies are promising to retrieve DOC in Great Arctic Rivers

#### Conclusion

- ✓ An effective CDOM retrieval algorithm with six dates in the freshest period
- ✓ Additional dates in the model will be needed (only 12 dates)
- ✓ Shorter and longer wavelengths combinations are powerful
- $\checkmark$  Potential TSS perturbations have to be taken into account

#### Perspectives

✓ Low and High spatial resolution could be complementary
 ✓ Sentinel 2 acquisitions in Igarka :

→Surface reflectance products will be delivered
→New field campaigns will be drived
→Further studies are coming...

Conclusion

## Thanks!



### Questions ?